

REMARKS

The Examiner is thanked for the telephone call to Applicant's attorney on March 2, 2001.

During this call, the Examiner stated that the amendments made to the Specification in Applicant's amendment, filed June 25, 1999, needed to be made by quoting the entire paragraph, marking the deleted material in brackets, and by underlining the added material. These changes have now been made by this Supplemental Amendment After Final.

The Examiner also stated that the first sentence of the Application had to be amended, and this has also now been accomplished.

The Examiner further stated that the amendments made in the Certificate of Correction had to be incorporated in the present application. The foregoing amendment to the specification accomplishes this as well.

To summarize, amendments herein have been made as follows:

At Column 1, paragraph 1, the following sentence has been inserted at the beginning of the paragraph: This application and co-pending application. Serial No. 09/758,631, filed January 10, 2001, are each applications for reissue of U.S. Patent No. 5,473,526 [U.S. Application Serial No. 08/231,637, filed April 22, 1994].

At Column 1, line 5, the following new paragraph has been inserted:

-- This invention was made with government support under DABT-63-92-C-0052 awarded by ARPA. The government has certain rights in the invention. --

At Column 3, paragraph 2, the second sentence has been amended as follows:
Here, a bank of supply voltages V_1 to V_N is [are] used to charge the load 12.

Serial No. 08/986,327

Docket No. 18036-20

At Column 4, paragraph 5, the first sentence has been amended as follows: Even if the tank capacitor voltages differ from the "correct" values, the circuit will work logically correctly, since each charging (discharging) cycle ends by connecting the load to [he] the supply rail (ground).

At Column 6, paragraph 7, the final sentence has been modified to become two sentences as follows: Thus, in general, optimization methods for the value of m vary according to the application. However[, however], one skilled in the art will be able to select a suitable value for m using conventional teachings (e.g., a simulation program).

Finally, the Examiner stated that a Supplemental Reissue Declaration was needed which states that the inventors were the "original and first" inventors and which also states the language set forth on page 1400-34, first column, of the *Manual of Patent Examination Procedure* (revision 1, February 2000). A Supplemental Reissue Declaration meeting these requirements is enclosed.

For the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully submitted that this case is now in condition for allowance, and early notice of the same is earnestly requested. Any fee that is seen as being needed should be charged to deposit Account No. 16-2230.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: March 22, 2001



Marc E. Brown, Reg. No. 28,590
Attorney for Applicant

Oppenheimer Wolff & Donnelly LLP
2029 Century Park East, 38th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067-3024
Telephone: (310) 788-5000 • Fax: (310) 788-5100

TAX COPY 1 10-11

TECHNOLOGY